Docket:

: A.09-12-020

Exhibit Number

: DRA-15

Commissioner

: Peevev

ALJ

: Fukutome

Witness

: Tolbert



DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Report on the Results of Operations for Pacific Gas and Electric Company General Rate Case Test Year 2011

Replacement Pages

Shared Services and Other Support Costs - Information Technology Expenses

San Francisco, California July 7, 2010

Revisions to Exhibit DRA-15:

- 1. p. 2, line 14, strike \$18.34 and replace with \$18.69
- 2. p. 3, line 3, strike \$10.71 and replace with \$10.9
- 3. p. 3, line 4, strike \$229.8 and replace with \$230.1
- 4. p. 3, Table 15 1 has been revised
- 5. p. 13, Table 15 4 has been revised
- 6. p. 33, Table 15 9 has been revised

7.

For PG&E's IT Lifecycle costs, the Commission should adopt DRA's
forecast of \$3.95 million for the test year. PG&E's forecast of \$12.4 million
is a 292.5% increase over 2008 recorded expenses to maintain its current
operational and reliability targets and is unreasonable.

- For IT Optimization costs, the Commission should adopt DRA's forecast of \$2.78 million for the test year. PG&E's forecast of \$8.277 million is a 5,000% increase over 2008 recorded expenses for ISTS, and is unreasonable.
- The Commission should adopt zero ratepayer funding for PG&E's Minor Enhancements projects. This Portfolio has had no expenditures over the past five years including the most recent 2009 recorded year. No justification for this forecast has been provided.
- For Functional Area Information Technology (FAIT) expenses, the Commission should adopt DRA's forecast of \$18.34 million. PG&E's forecast of \$69.587 million is a 265.4% increase over the 2008 base year recorded expenses and is unreasonable.
- PG&E agreed to remove all of Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade (MRTU) costs from this proceeding including energy procurement costs in MWC IM, IN & FB from this General Rate Case (GRC).³ However, DRA found the MRTU UCC was included in the RO model adding to the revenue requirement calculation in the case. DRA also found an additional \$6.4 million of capital Energy Procurement Integration costs and \$4.07 million capital ISO Market Systems Program costs related to MRTU⁴ that should be removed. DRA is therefore recommending that an

 $[\]frac{3}{2}$ PG&E's description of the MRTU proceeding can be found in Appendix A to this exhibit item 3(a) through (d). DRA's recommendations relating to the MRTU proceeding is in Section V, Duplicate Filings, of this exhibit.

⁴ Exhibit PG&E-7, Workpapers Supporting Chapter 2, p. 173, Table 2 – 45, line 35

additional \$10.47 million in capital, and that the Market Redesign and
Technology Upgrade (MRTU) UCC, be removed from the RO model.

Table 15 - 1 below represents DRA's forecast of a 10.71% increase to \$229.8 million in the test year for the ISTS which is over the 2008 base year expenses, a difference of \$81.8 million with the Company. This is a reasonable increase over PG&E's 2008 base year expenses for the reasons set forth in this report.

Table 15 – 1 (Revised June 15, 2010) DRA's and PG&E's TY2011 Forecasts of Information Technology Expenses (In Thousands of Dollars)

Description of IT Portfolio	Recorded 2008 (b)	DRA Recommended	PG&E Proposed (d)	Amount PG&E>DRA (e=d-c)	Percentage PG&E>DRA (f=e/c)	DRA's Increase over 2008 g=(c-b)/b
(a)						
	185,279	204,639	219,086	14,447	7.1%	10.4%
Baseline	3,147	3,945	12,353	8,408	213.1%	25.4%
IT Lifecycle	The second secon	2.790	8,277	5,487	196.6%	19832.0%
IT Optimization	14	2,790	and the second or the second of the con-	2,076		0.0%
Minor Enhancements		-	2,076	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	070 40/	
Functional Area IT	19,044	18,688	69,587	50,899	272.4%	-1.970
Adjustment to Tie to M&O	-	- 1		-		L
Total	207,484	230,063	311,379	81,316	35.3%	10.9%

 DRA recommends that the total IT capital costs in PG&E's Data Center requested be assessed at one-half or \$11.62 million for the San Francisco site replacement and amortized over 2011 – 2013.

III. DISCUSSION OF PG&E's ISTS PROGRAM

A. Overview of PG&E's IT Testimony

PG&E begins its IT expense and capital expenditure testimony with a
discussion of its ISTS organizational structure and program management process.

⁵ Ex. PG&E-7, p. 2-1.

Table 15 – 4 (Revised June 15, 2010)
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
Information Systems technology Services
Comparison of PG&E to DRA Forecast Expenses of 2011
by IT Portfolio and MWC
(\$000)

MWC 1 AK A	MWC Description Manage Environmental Oper Manage DCPP Business Applications-IT Infrastructure & Network-IT Mnt of Cmp Netwk & Sys Infrastructure & Network-IT	2008 Recorded \$ 66	Forecast with 2009 Allocation	PG&E 2011 Forecast	PG&E vs.	PG&E over
AK A	soription Environmental Oper Environmental Oper DOPP Business ons-IT mp Netw. & Sys sture & Network-IT ons-IT cure & Network-IT	Recorded	2009 Allocation	Lorecast	300	
AK BP IIM IN	Environmental Oper DCPP Business ons-IT Amagement-IT ture & Network-IT mp Netwk & Sys ture & Network-IT ons-IT cure & Network-IT cure & Ne	8	C. Control of the Con		•	A 0.00/
Subtotal e Allocation a Total cle in i	DCPP Business ons-IT ture & Network-IT mp Netwk & Sys sture & Network-IT ons-IT cture & Network-IT	866	89	89	0 (0.070
on Dotal IN	ons-IT The Management-IT Aure & Network-IT The Netwe & Sys Sture & Network-IT Ons-IT Sture & Network-IT	411	8963	896	0	0.0%
on In	ture & Network-IT mp Netwk & Sys sture & Network-IT ons-IT	64,089	70,752	108,749	37,997	53.7%
on DO III III III III III III III III III	any Markey Sys Ture & Network-IT The Network-IT The Sys Ture & Network-IT Ture & Network-IT Ture & Network-IT	39.345	35,710	42,115	6,405	17.9%
on 10 IN	mp Netwik & Sys sture & Network-IT ons-IT	80.913	93,646	768'96	3,251	3.5%
on IO IIM IN IO	iture & Network-IT		•	•	•	
on DO III III III III III III III III III	sture & Network-IT ons-IT sture & Network-IT	185 279	201.138	248,792	47,654	23.7%
D M M O M M O M Ist	iture & Network-II ons-IT	2	3.501	(29,706)	(33,207)	-948.5%
otal IM IN	ons-IT ture & Network-IT	185 279	204.639	219,086	14,447	7.1%
e Total ID ID III III III III III III	ons-IT ture & Network-IT	100,410			1	,
e Total tion filon floor f	cture & Network-IT	-		5,000	5,000	
tion IM IM Iton Iton IM Iton IM Iton IM IM ITON IM IM IM IM ITON IM	ildie a Nework-II	3 147	3.945	7,353	3,408	86.4%
IM IN		2 147	3.945	12,353	8,408	213.1%
IN IN IN IN IO		6,14			•	
n Total IO ments IM ments IO io iements Total	F	•		8,277	8,277	
n Total 10 ments 10 m	TI tomonout II		219		(219)	%0.0
n Total IO ments IM ments IO ments IO ments IO ments IO ments Total	Enterprise management-11	14	2 572		(2,572)	
IM IN Its Total	infrastructure & Network-II	177	0 790	8.277	5,487	196.6%
IM IM		1	20117		,	
Its Total	T 0000	•		1,576	1,576	1
its Total	IONS-III			200	200	1
nents Total	Infastructure & Iverwork-11			2,076	2,076	
					1	
	0000	644		-		#DIV/0I
7	Manage DCPP Business		2.175	2,175		%0.0
F8	Mint of Cmp Netwik & Sys	12 066		59.512	49,823	
Σ	II-suoi	7 450		7,900	5,789	274.2%
Z	Enterprise Management-II	Pag.			(4,713)	-100.0%
0	infrastructure & inetwork-iii	19 044		69,587	50,899	272.4%
Functional Area IT		100				
		-			1	
Other		-				•
Minor Enhancements Total					'	
				•		%0.0
Centralization					•	%0.0
Centralization Total						
Adjustment to Tie to M&O		\$207 484	230.063	\$311,379	\$81,316	35.3%
Grand Total		7,000,0		20.07%		

Table 15-9 shows a comparison of PG&E's and DRA's estimate of the FAIT Portfolio expenses.

Table 15 – 9 (Revised June 15, 2010) Division of Ratepayer Advocates Information Systems technology Services Comparison of PG&E to DRA By IT Functional Area Information Technology (FAIT) Expenses

Line No.	Title	DRA 2011 Recommended	PG&E 2011 Forecast	Amount PG&E>DRA	Percentage PG&E>DRA
		a	b	c=(b-a)	d=c/a
1	Manage DCPP Business	-	-	-	#DIV/0!
2	Mnt of Cmp Netwk & Sys	2,175	2,175	-	0.0%
3	Applications-IT	9,689	59,512	49,823	514.2%
4	Enterprise Management-IT	2,111	7,900	5,789	274.2%
5	Infrastructure & Network-IT	4,713		(4,713)	-100.0%
6	Total	18,688	69,587	50,899	272.4%

(\$000)

V. DUPLICATE FILINGS

A. Projects Being Addressed In Other Proceedings

In order to prevent duplication of funding, DRA asked PG&E in a data request to provide a list of all projects or portions of projects that are being litigated in other proceedings before this Commission, other state commissions, or the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission that are or may be related to the IT projects in this GRC. To PG&E's response to that data request is included as Appendix A to this exhibit.

B. Recommendations from Other Proceedings

1. Customer Care & Billing

PG&E has requested \$8.23 million in this GRC for Customer Care and Billing IT expenses. DRA recommends that these costs be removed.

⁷¹ DRA-037, Q./A. 1.